

REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance of the subject application are respectfully solicited.

Claims 15, 16, 18 through 22, 32, 36, and 38 through 51 are pending, with Claims 15, 32, and 36 being independent. Claim 17 had been cancelled in the October 19, 2004 Amendment, and has been presented herein as cancelled. Claims 15, 16, 18, 21, 22, 32, 36, and 38 through 41 have been amended. Claims 42 through 51 have been added.

Claims 15 through 22, 32, 36, and 38 through 41 were again variously rejected under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102(e) and 103 over U.S. Patent No. 6,680,746 B2 (Kawai, et al.). All rejections are respectfully traversed.

Claims 15, 32, and 36 variously recite, inter alia, transmitting the one map image (the one map image being used, in common, for display by the plurality of communication apparatuses) to the communication apparatus which requests the one map image.

However, Applicants respectfully submit that Kawai, et al. fails to disclose or suggest at least the above-discussed claimed features as recited, inter alia, in Claims 15, 32, and 36. Applicants respectfully note that Kawai, et al. discloses, e.g., (a) a secondary memory 26 that stores map data (e.g., col. 6, lines 20-24), (b) that the bit-map display in each apparatus displays video data captured by the video capture device and video data received from the network, and the apparatuses can transmit/receive commands (e.g., col. 9, lines 30-54), and (c) that the camera control client 472 receives the maps from the camera management server 468 (e.g., col. 30, lines 4-8). However, Applicants respectfully submit that neither the foregoing nor the remainder of Kawai, et al. provides either a description or a suggestion of at least the above-discussed claimed features as recited, inter alia, in Claims 15, 32, and 36. In addition, the Official Notice is respectfully traversed in

the absence of a cited reference. MPEP 2144.03. It is further respectfully submitted that there has been no showing of any indication of motivation in the cited documents that would lead one having ordinary skill in the art to arrive at the above-discussed claimed features.

The dependent claims are also submitted to be patentable because they set forth additional aspects of the present invention and are dependent from independent claims discussed above. Therefore, separate and individual consideration of each dependent claim is respectfully requested.

This Amendment After Final Rejection is an earnest attempt to advance prosecution and reduce the number of issues, and is believed to clearly place this application in condition for allowance. Furthermore, Applicants respectfully submit that a full appreciation of these amendments will not require undue time or effort given the Examiner's familiarity with this application. Moreover, this Amendment was not earlier presented because Applicants earnestly believed that the prior Amendment placed the subject application in condition for allowance. Accordingly, entry of this Amendment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 is respectfully requested.

Applicants submit that this application is in condition for allowance, and a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

Applicants' attorney may be reached in our Washington, D.C., office by telephone at (202) 530-1010. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our address below.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Daniel S. Glueck", positioned above a horizontal line.

Daniel S. Glueck
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 37,838

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-3800
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200
DSG/cmg

DC_MAIN 223417v1